Date: February 7, 2002

To: Division of Drinking Water Staff

Through: Robert B. Taylor, P. E., Director

Division of Drinking Water

From: G. W. Peaks, P. E., Director of Technical Services

Division of Drinking Water

Subject: Water - Procedure - Surveillance - Sanitary Surveys

Delete: Working Memo <u>673</u>

- I. Definition A sanitary survey may be defined as an evaluation of operational and maintenance procedures and a review and inspection of water sources, facilities, and equipment of a waterworks. DDW has traditionally carried out sanitary surveys consistent with USEPA's definition of a full, complete sanitary survey as one that provides a comprehensive, accurate record of a waterworks' components, an assessment of the waterworks' adequacy and operating conditions, and a determination of whether previously noted deficiencies have been corrected. Such a survey includes review and investigation of various elements, as follow:
 - * Waterworks' past sanitary surveys
 - * Waterworks' past water monitoring results
 - * Construction of water source(s)
 - * Sources of contamination
 - * Watershed/Recharge area management
 - * Water treatment processes
 - * Water distribution system
 - * Cross-connection control program
 - * Waterworks' management
 - * Waterworks' operation
 - * Operating staff's water monitoring/reporting procedures
 - * Qualifications of operating staff
 - * Collection of water samples
 - * Corrective actions/recommendations from previous surveys especially significant deficiencies

DDW believes that all of these elements are necessary for a thorough survey, but recognizes that not all elements may be necessary or evaluated at each survey. This is particularly true when surveys are conducted at very frequent intervals. Many of the elements, however, need to be evaluated at every survey. All elements must be evaluated within a three-year cycle .

- II. Purpose The main purpose for performing sanitary surveys is for assisting the waterworks' operator/owner in the production and distribution of safe drinking water. An additional reason for performing sanitary surveys is that periodic surveys develop lines of communication between the Division and the waterworks' operator/owner, which can enhance relationships and foster mutual trust and respect.
- III. Frequency of Surveys The following minimum sanitary survey frequency shall be used:
 - a. Groundwater (C, NTNC and state/federal TNCs) one survey each 18 months Groundwater (TNCs) one survey each 24 months

EXCEPTION: For Federal Government owned, remotely located pitcher pump waterworks, the sanitary survey shall be once every five years.

- b. Groundwater source under the direct influence of surface water shall be considered a surface source for the purpose of scheduling sanitary surveys.
- c. Surface two surveys each year (includes waterworks with surface source in whole or in part).
- d. Consecutive at the groundwater frequency.
- IV. Sanitary Survey Format for Groundwater Systems The attached sanitary survey report form (Appendix A) is for use in conducting and reporting the results of groundwater sanitary surveys. This form is generally appropriate for GUDISW with the addition of site specific treatment forms.

The form has been designed to 1) provide uniformity of surveys, 2) ensure completeness of the surveys, 3) facilitate recordkeeping, 4) allow follow-up surveys by other staff if necessary, 5) provide owners/operators with a separate and concise notification of deficiencies, 6) motivate owners/operators to take corrective action, and 7) provide a record for future surveys, for emergency situations, or when technical assistance is needed. If these forms are modified you must ensure that the mandatory fields in the General Information section are included.

A. Part I - General Information

1.) Purpose - the purpose of this section of the sanitary survey report is to provide the following information:

- a.) General Information
- b.) Compliance History
- c.) Monitoring History

The top portion of the "General Information "through "Present at Survey" is mandatory information while the remaining items are general items which should already be included in the description sheet. "Compliance History" and "Monitoring History" are self-explanatory and should be completed and updated for all routine sanitary survey reports.

2.) Intent - the intent of this section is to provide an "in-house" cover sheet for the sanitary survey report. These sheets will appear in the file with all other documents and notes associated with the survey for each survey performed.

This section will be an integral part of the pre-survey file review. It should serve as a reminder of any recent problems and/or any outstanding requirements for the waterworks. Also, the reviewer will use this section during the final review of the completed sanitary survey report, before the "Recommendations" section is sent to the owner. This section is not intended to be sent to the waterworks owner because most of the information will be of no real value to him/her.

The owner's report from the previous survey should be copied and included in the current sanitary survey documents as a work sheet for the staff conducting the current survey.

B. Part II - System Survey Information

This portion of the report is intended to be used during the <u>onsite review</u> of the water source, facilities, equipment, operation, and maintenance of the waterworks for the purpose of <u>evaluating the adequacy</u> of such source, facilities, equipment, operation, and maintenance for producing and distributing safe drinking water. The task is to identify potential or existing problems and evaluate their risks.

The lists presented are intended to be used as a tool. The lists are not checklists for conducting a thorough waterworks evaluation. The lists are provided as reminders of the topics to be evaluated during a survey. The intent is to place numbers in boxes where comments are required and to write the comments at the bottom of the page or on another sheet, which can be included with the report.

The page is designed to evaluate two sources, storage tanks, etc., and should be suitable for the majority of waterworks. If more than two sources, tanks, etc. are present in a waterworks; additional copies of the page should be used and taken into the field by the staff.

The items in the "Source (s)" list are arranged generally in an order to consider potential health threats first, followed by physical equipment required by the <u>Waterworks Regulations</u>, and finally, operational items. Items in the "Well House" and "Storage" lists are arranged in a similar order.

In cases where treatments other than those included here in are present, the staff will need to develop their own process specific list(s) for inclusion in the survey report. The list (s) should follow the general format of that for fluoridation including a review of the past 12 months monthly operation reports to determine effectiveness of treatment, questions pertaining to potential health threats (usually cross-connection control), physical facilities required by the <u>Waterworks Regulations</u>, and operational considerations. The staff should consult with the Deputy Field Director to assure that the pertinent items are included in the lists).

Staff are encouraged to consider the preparation of piping diagrams or schematics where such information is not available in the correspondence file. Such information can be valuable to the staff in finalizing the survey report and will provide an important future reference source.

During the entire survey, the staff should observe, note, and evaluate conditions that may bring about impairment in the quality of water to be delivered to the consumer or that may impair the reliability of the waterworks to deliver safe drinking water. The staff should question the operator thoroughly in order to evaluate the operator's knowledge of potential sources of contamination within the waterworks and within 1000 feet of the source, the operator's knowledge of the waterworks and its treatment processes, the operator's ability to reliably operate the waterworks, operator attention paid to process control and maintenance, and the administration and financial capability of the waterworks to assure proper operation. These observations should be written (on a separate sheet or the back of one of the working sheets) and made a portion of the survey.

C. Owner's Report - The final portion of the sanitary survey report is the report to the owner. This part of the overall survey form has been designed to include only the information which is deemed pertinent to the party who has the authority and responsibility to react to the recommendations for corrective action. This portion of the overall report is envisioned as the only record of the sanitary survey to be provided to the owner and local health department. The report has been tailored to stand on its own with minimal background information, including date and type of survey and a listing of those in attendance, provided for those instances when the "owner" was represented by another party for the survey.

The performance of field tests such as pH, alkalinity, hardness, iron, manganese, etc. should be considered during all surveys. The conducting of field tests may be indicated when routine chemical samples are collected, during a complaint investigation, when requested by the owner/operator, or for the purpose of evaluating a treatment process. The results of all field tests conducted shall be included in the comment section of the owner's survey report.

Realizing that everyone has a different style, the form of presentation of the staff recommendations, comments, observations, concerns, compliments are left to the discretion of the staff and/or their supervisor. Obviously, we must present the results of our survey in a thorough and understandable manner. Our report must be prepared and forwarded promptly following the sanitary survey in order that the owner is given the impression of professionalism on our part which in turn should foster confidence and a willingness to cooperate towards a mutual goal, safe drinking water. The report can be brief or as detailed as necessary, with extra sheets added for narrative if needed, to convey to the owner the deficiencies that exist and what must be done to correct them. The report must reiterate those areas requiring action by the owner that you discussed with the owner/operator during the sanitary survey. If the written report differs from the on-site discussion during the survey, the owner/operator must be phoned to notify of the change (s) and the reason (s). Our report must be communicated at the level of the owner/operator, with laymen's language used where the need dictates. The likelihood that corrective action will be undertaken by the owner in a timely manner will be strongly influenced by understanding the reason(s) for doing what we request. By taking the time to describe the problem in simple terms and by explaining the reasons for requiring the correction, we will normally receive a more positive response.

Since our survey includes the complete water system, the total picture must be considered before possible causes of problems are communicated to the owner. The reason for this is that problem causes may exist throughout the system rather than resulting from a single isolated circumstance. Problem solving must be approached systematically with a concept of the elements that might contribute to the problem and insights into possible solutions. Only after a thorough evaluation of all system components is completed, should recommended actions be documented to the owner/operator.

Finally, the staff member making the survey must temper any recommendations with a thorough realization of their experience level, previous training, and knowledge of the problem. Erroneous instructions, based upon a misinterpretation of the actual situation, can have damaging consequences. Any staff with limited experience or simply unable to confidently interpret the survey results, must use good judgement by referring the suspected problem to more experienced Department personnel for resolution.

V. Sanitary Survey Format for Surface Water Systems – The attached sanitary survey report forms (Appendix B & C) are for use in conducting and reporting the results of sanitary surveys performed at waterworks served by surface water plants.

The forms have been designed to 1) provide uniformity of surveys, 2) ensure completeness of the surveys, 3) facilitate recordkeeping, 4) allow follow-up surveys by other staff, 5) provide owners and operators of the waterworks with a detailed record of the surveys, 6) provide a record for future surveys, and 7) provide owners/operators a specific, detailed listing of deficiencies, comments, and recommendations. If these forms are modified you must ensure that the mandatory fields in the General Information section and the Significant Deficiency items (≥10,000) are included. The top portion of the "General" section through "Present at Survey" is mandatory information.

This report form is designed to cover both performance of the physical facilities themselves and the operational and managerial institutions, which govern the facilities. The purpose of the form is to provide a means to look at the necessary unit processes at the treatment plant and at associated facilities elsewhere, and to look at the programs which need to be in place to ensure proper operation, maintenance, planning and funding of those facilities on an on-going basis. It is intended that Part A of the form will be completed on each visit to the plant. It is intended that Part B would be completed at least every 1 ½ years (twice every 3 years), and that Part C would be filled out at least once every 3 years. Use of the periodic sections of the form will allow VDH staff to conduct a sanitary survey of the entire waterworks, which would meet the definition of a "full, complete sanitary survey" and the Federal regulations which call for a sanitary survey of this type once per three years for community waterworks. (For non-community waterworks you may substitute in Part B and C above based on a five-year cycle).

The forms are intended to be used by the DDW staff member making the on-site visit for the purpose of evaluating the operating and institutional adequacy of the waterworks. The tasks are to identify existing or potential problems and to evaluate the risks presented by those problems. The items found in the forms are not intended to be all-inclusive, but are a good starting point for observation and discussion with the operating staff and managers.

During the survey our staff should courteously and thoroughly question the operating staff to determine their knowledge of the facility and its processes, the staff's ability to reliably operate the waterworks, and the attention paid to process control and maintenance. The long-term performance of the facility should receive special attention. Notes should be kept on the form, with special comments made in the section provided for that use in each unit process. Performance of field tests is encouraged, and results of those tests should be entered in the "Comments/Recommendations for Action" section, which is the last page of the opening/summary section of the report.

It is envisioned that the whole report form [the opening/summary section, and Parts A, B, and C (depending on which areas of the survey are performed)] will be forwarded to the waterworks owner and to the Operator in Responsible Charge (OIRC). It is believed that owners of surface waterworks' want to see a full record of our survey, and will appreciate having the unit-by-unit evaluation contained in the report. The report may be finalized as a hand-written document **if** completed neatly and legibly. Otherwise, it will need to be redone and prepared as a typed/printed document.

VI. Distribution of Sanitary Survey Reports – The original copy of each report (full copy for surface waters; owner's letter for groundwater and consecutive systems) is to be sent to the waterworks owner and to the OIRC. [A model transmittal letter (Appendix D) must be utilized when there are Significant Deficiencies (Surface>10,000).] For all federally-owned waterworks, a copy of the opening/summary section of the report or the owner's letter is to be mailed by the field office to:

Federal Facilities Coordinator USEPA – Region III 841 Chestnut Building Philadelphia, PA 19107

The DDW – Technical Services section only needs a copy of the opening/summary section (not any of the three Parts) of the surface water report. For groundwater and consecutive systems, DDW is to be sent copies of the letter to the owner and the worksheets.

A special copy of the owner's report (owner's letter or opening/summary section) <u>plus</u> only the appropriate evaluation sheets (unit process review sheets) for fluoridation systems shall be sent to the Division of Dental Health for <u>all</u> waterworks which practice fluoride adjustment, whether groundwater or surface water. The copy shall be sent to:

pc: VDH – Division of Dental Health (Attn: Director)

All sanitary survey reports shall be written, reviewed, and sent out of the Field Office within 30 calendar days of the survey.

- VII. Significant Deficiencies –This Section applies only to surface water source waterworks serving a population equal to or greater than 10,000 and is effective January 1, 2002. The following eight categories: Source; Treatment, Distribution and Transmission; Finished Water Storage; Pumps, Pump Facilities and Controls; Monitoring, Reporting and Data Verification; Waterworks Management and Operation; and Operator Compliance with State Requirements, have deficiencies that are considered significant. Specific deficiencies initially considered significant are identified on the sanitary survey form. If a staff member performing a sanitary survey observes one of these deficiencies, concurrence must be obtained from the Deputy Field Director prior to formal notification to the waterworks owner. The observed deficiency shall be subject to the following questions in order to confirm that it is a significant deficiency:
 - Does the deficiency cause the potential for acute and chronic contaminants to be introduced into the drinking water?
 - If left uncorrected will the deficiency cause the potential for the introduction of acute and chronic contaminants into the drinking water at some point in the future?
 - Does the deficiency affect treatment in an unacceptable manner?

The owner is required to respond no later than forty-five days after receipt of the survey report indicating how and on what schedule the significant deficiencies will be addressed. All references to the required date of response should be sixty days from mailing. A tracking system must be developed to ensure that the above schedule is met and that the significant deficiencies are corrected. Failure on the part of the owner to comply will institute enforcement action through our enforcement/compliance procedures.

VIII. Staff Training – Training of the staff is required to assure the quality and consistency of the sanitary survey program. The staff training program shall 1) provide the basic knowledge to allow new staff to adequately perform sanitary surveys, 2) address criteria to serve as a guide as to the appropriate actions to be taken when specific types of deficiencies are detected to insure deficiencies are evaluated consistently, and 3) address the format as to how surveys should be conducted and documented.

SUGGESTED TRAINING APPROACH FOR

SANITARY SURVEYS

The training of the staff should consist of a combination of formal classroom training and on-the-job training.

- A. Classroom Training
 - 1. Training subjects
 - a. Determination of vulnerability
 - b. Identifying cross-connections
 - c. Types of construction and appurtenances including a discussion of purpose of each type.
 - d. Exposure to different types of treatment processes, i.e., disinfection, coagulation, filtration, iron and manganese removal, softening, fluoridation, etc.
 - 2. USEPA-sponsored sanitary survey training course should be modeled
 - 3. Distribute informational/reference materials
 - 4. Include a slide presentation that depicts interesting field conditions
- B. On-The-Job (field) Training
 - 1. Applicable to new staff
 - 2. Duration dependent upon the new staff 's prior experience.

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DIVISION OF DRINKING WATER GROUNDWATER SYSTEM SANITARY SURVEY REPORT

	SUBJECT:	
	Water PWSID No	
PART I - GENERAL INFORMA		
Owner:	Contact Person	
Telephone:	Type Waterworks () C () NTNO	C ()TNC
Survey By:	Survey Date:	
Time Spent On-site:	Last Survey Date:	
Survey Type: () Routine () Complaint ()	Special:	
Present At Survey:		
Waterworks Class:	Operator's License Class:	
Date To D.E.:	Reviewed By / Date:	/
Date To D.F.D./TSE/E.I.S.:	Reviewed By / Date:	/
Facilities Surveyed:		
Permit Effective Date:		() Yes () No
No. Connections: Pop. Served:	Avg. Daily Usage:	
Design Capacity:	Operating Within Design Capacity ()	Yes () No
Average GPD/Connection:	_ Inventory/SDWIS Records Up-to-Date	() Yes () No
COMI	PLIANCE HISTORY	
Operations staff has proper license and is adequated Cross-Connection Program Approved () Yes Reviewed Records This Visit () Yes () No Bacteriological SSR Approved () Yes Pb & Cu Materials Survey Approved () Yes Surface Influence Determination(s) Completed Consent Order in Effect () Yes () No Other Enforcement Actions: Source Water Assessment of all sources complete Complaints Since Last Survey () Yes () No. If yes	(Date:) To Satisfactory () Yes () No Program Act (Date:) (Date:) () Yes (Date:) Result: Effective Date of Order:	() Yes () No () No ive () Yes () No () No () No () No

Violations (non-bacti)/Enforcement Actions Since Last Survey () Yes () No. If yes, explain:

Water -	

PART I - GENERAL INFORMATION (continued)

MONITORING HISTORY

A. SDWA Monitoring

Sample Type	Last Sample Date	Samples Collected this Survey	Violations / Deficiencies
Inorganics			
Metals			
Radiological			
VOCs			
SOCs			
Cyanide			
Nitrates			
Lead & Copper			

D ('1 ' 1/1 1 1 1		
Bacteriological (checked over past	Sampling in Accordance with BSSR	
12 months)	Notice of Excedance of PMCL [month(s)]	
	Notice of failure to Perform prescribed Monitoring (month(s))	
	Owner Issued Public Notice as Required?	

B. Other Monitoring

Raw Water	Required? Yes / No; If "Yes", Frequency?	
Bacteriological	Number of FC Positive (+) Samples	
History (checked over	Number of samples with MPN > 100 organisms/100ml	
past 12 months)		

Monthly Operational	All submitted for Past 12 Months	
	Appropriate operational parameter monitoring	
	All required information/analyses reported	
Reports	C12 residual in distribution system detected 95% of the time in surface water source supplied consecutive systems	

	Was report issued to last applicable	
Consumer	calendar year?	
Confidence Report	Was report information correct?	
Status	Was certification statement received?	

A. Source(s)	C. Storage -	Pneu	ımatic	E. Storage -	Atmospheric
Sanitary Casing Seal	Protected Drain			Protected Drain	
Screened/Elbowed Casing Vent	Pressure Gauge			Screened Overflow	
12" Casing Extension	Sight Glass			Locked and Water Tight	
				Hatch(es)	
Concrete Pad (6' Square)	Pressurizing System			Screened Vent	
Well Lot	Vacuum Relief			Sediment Observed /Floating Debris Observed	
Protected from Flood Waters	Pressure Relief			Lot Condition Adequate	
Discharge Check Valve	Exterior Condition			Water Level Indicator	
Discharge Shut-off Valve	Normal Pump Cycling			Access Ladder(s)	
Valved Blow-Off	DL&I Exp. Date (>120 gal.)			All-Weather Access	
Raw Water Sampling Tap	D. Disinf	ection		Exterior Condition –including properly modified for antennae,etc.	
Drawdown Gauge	Disinfectant (Circle One)	OCL/CL ₂	OCL/CL ₂	Interior Condition	
Entry Point Tap Available	Feeder Condition			Date Last Drained & Insp.	
Operable Water Meter	Spare Feeder/Repair Parts			F. Booster Pump(s)/s	Stations
All Weather Access	Safety Features/			Adequate Protection	
	Precaustions adequate to protect operator and public			(Housing and Flooding)	
Pitless Adapter	Room Ventilation			Proper Storage Only	
				(non-toxic - non- explosive)	
Pump Controlled By	Contact Tank Provided			No Cross-connections	
Pumping Rate Obsv. (gpm)	Thirty minute contact time			No. Provided/Operable	
Discharge Head (psi)					
Pumping Average hrs/day	Contact tank condition			Shut-off each suction line	
				Low Pressure Cut-Off	
B. Well House	Injection line condition			Shut-off & Check Valves on each discharge Line	
Adequate Protection				Pressure Gauge on Discharge Line	
Proper Storage Only (non-toxic – non-explosive)	Solution tank condition			Compound Gauge on Suction Line	
No Cross-connections	Solution tank covered				
Lighting	Feeder Activation/Operation			Low Pressure Cut-Off Setting	
Heating	Weighing scale			Pump Controls/Auto Operable	
Electrical Wiring (safety)	Visible scale in feed equip.			Pump Controls/Manual Operable	
Floor Drain	Number full cylinders				
All-Weather Access	Booster Pump(s)			Pump Controls	
Wellhead Accessible	Residual test equipment			G. Meter Vault	
Locked	Free residual, mg/l			Drain	
Clean/Uncluttered	Field test ≈ MOR residuals			Sample Tap	
				Access (Ladder, etc.)	
				Locked Access	
		1	ĺ	Meter Bypass	

Notes

- 1. Explanations may be listed below or on an additional page(s).
- 2. Additional areas that should be assessed include other treatment processes/equipment not listed above, overall system operation, maintenance, and administration, training needs, previous consumer complaints.

PART II - SYSTEM SURVEY INFORMATION (CON'T)

H. General:				
b. Do the chem c. Is adequate s	safety equipment provided	facilities offer potential for chemical handling (i.	or explosions? (e., rubber gloves,) Yes () No) Yes () No
breathing app	paratus, goggle, aprons, et	c.	() Yes () No
I. Activities or pollution	sources in the immediate	source water area (well lo	t) that represents a signif	icant/acute health risks.
J. Source Water Assessn	nent Update:			
List land use activit	ties of concern found but i	not listed in Zone 1 for the	e original source water as	sessment.
LUA TYPE	RISK	NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER	OWNER ADDRESS	LATITUDE/LONGITUDE
Deficiencies/Comments:				

PART II - SYSTEM SURVEY INFORMATION (CON'T)

Deficiencies/Comments:

PART II - SYSTEM SURVEY INFORMATION - FLUORIDATION

Review monthly operation reports and fluoride split sample reports for the past 12 months.

Month	Number of Days Fluoride Concentration		Split Sample Results				
Month	Between 0.8 and 1.0 mg	g/I	Waterworks	DCLS	DCLS Within 10% (Specific Ion Within ± 0.2 (SPAD		trode
January						<u> </u>	
February							
March							
April							
May							
June							
July							
August							
September							
October							
November							
December							
December							
K. Fluo	ride Saturator			L	. Fluoride Acid		
Anti-Siphon vacuum breaker on make-up water line (upflow saturator)					on protection		
	· line(downflow saturator)			Gloves, apron, & goggles			
Dust Mask, gloves, other s	afety equipment			Chemical re	Chemical respirator with cartridge for		
				Acid			
Chemicals meets NSF star				Room ventilation			
Operational water meter on softener and saturator, if app	make-up water line (between plicable)			Carboy vented to outdoors			
Water meter read and volun	ne recorded daily			Carboy oper			
Softener Provided				_	der/storage room		
Upflow Saturator Pick-up F	-				neets NSF standards		
Frequency of softener reger	_			Feeder condi			
Hardness of make-up water	uoride chemical in saturator			Injection line Weighing sca			
Metering pump and controls					ation/operation		
Back pressure sustarning va					e sustaining valve		
Saturator Cleaning Frequen					Test Equipment		
Saturator Cicaming Prequen				Fluoride Ion	Concentration		
Fluoride Ion Test Equipme Fluoride Ion Concentration						1	

Water -	

– GREENSAND	FILTER)
	- GREENSAND

SOFTENING (CATION EXCHANGE UTILI	ZING NaCl)	
Treatment Purpose (softening, Fe/Mn removal, other)		
Cross-Connection Protection – Water Inlet to Brine Tank		
Chemicals meet NSF Standards		
Equipment Literature Available		
Equipment Condition		
Equipment Operation Adequate		
Bypass for Blending		
Regeneration (automatic, manual)		
Backwash (gravity from system/storage, pumped, other)		
No. Backwash Pumps		
Suitable Sampling Taps (influent, effluent, blended)		
Corrosion Problem Due to Salt Storage/Usage		
Suitable Salt Storage (30 days minimum)		
Salt Storage/Brine Tank Separated from Vulnerable Equipment		
Disposal of Brine Waste		
Appropriate & Operable Testing Equipment		
Deficiencies/Comments/Field Test Results:		

DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM EVALUATION		
Pipe Material(s)		
Plans/Sketches Available With Valve Locations		
System Maintenance/Operation Adequate		
Adequate Line Sizes		
Adequate Pressure Maintained Throughout System (>20 psi during peak flow)		
How Often Is Pressure Monitoring Conducted?		
Individual Service Meters		
Provisions for Flushing (hydrants, blow-offs, etc.)		
Routine Flushing Program in Practice		
Fire Protection Provided		
How Often Are Fire Flow Tests Conducted (with fire dept.)?		
How Often Are Hydrants' Operational Status Checked?		
How Often Are Water Audits Conducted?		
Leakage rates > 30% (poses unacceptable risk of back siphonage)		
Adequate Valving to Minimize Service Interruptions How Often Are System Valves Exercised?		
Corrosion Control Program Practiced		
Problems/Complaints Past Year (taste & odor, pressure, Turbidity/sediment, color, service interruptions, etc.)		
Disinfection residuals meet requirements		
Are Proper Disinfection/Sampling Procedures per the AWWA Standard For Pipe Repairs Used?		
Is Redisinfection practiced? Describe below.		
Interconnected to Another System		
Records of Repairs, Flushing, Hydrant Testing ,Fire Flow Tests, Water Audits, Complaints, etc. maintained		
How often are air/vacuum valves checked for operability?		
Are air/vacuum valves protected from contamination?		
Deficiencies/Comments/Field Test Results:		

Water -	

CORROSION CONTROL TRE	EATMENT	
Type Treatment (ortho/poly/blend – phosphate, pH/alkalinity adjustment, sequestration, calcite contactor, silicate, etc.) Back Siphonage Protection Provided		
Safety Eyewear and Clothing Provided		
Chemical(s) Meet NSF Standards		
Equipment Literature Available		
Equipment Condition		
Equipment Operation Adequate		
Spare Feeder/Metering Pump		
Proper Mixing Downstream of Chemical Addition		
Adequate Mixing Provided for Chemical Slurries		
Separate Feeder/Storage Room Provided		
Suitable Chemical Storage (30 days minimum)		
Suitable Sampling Taps (upstream, downstream)		
Calcite Addition Based Upon (calcite contractor)		
Disposal of Backwash Waste (downflow calcite contractor)		
Appropriate & Operable Testing Equipment		
Deficiencies/Comments/Field Test Results:		

SPRING / SPRING ENCLOSURE/PUMP FACILITIES		
Protected From Flooding		
Spring Overflow Screened		
Spring Lot		
All-Weather Access		
No Cross Connections		
Clean/Uncluttered		
Improper Storage of Contaminants		
Spring Enclosure Condition/Acceptable		
Spring Enclosure Protected (Lot Fenced)		
Locked		
Electrical Wiring (safety)		
Lighting		
Heating		
Entry Point Tap Available		
Raw Water Sampling Tap		
Discharge Check Valve		
Discharge Shut-Off Valve		
Valved Discharge to Waste		
Operable Water Meter		
Pumping Rate Observed (gpm)		
Pump(s) Controlled By		
Discharge Head (psi)		
Deficiencies/Comments/Field Test Results:		

Water -	
Page 1 of 3	

PART II – SYSTEM SURVEY INFORMATION (Filtration) (Other than Conventional Media Filtration – Includes Membranes, Cartridges, etc.)

Type Equipment:		
No. Filtration Units:	Flux Rate:	gpm/ft ²
Design Capacity Each Unit:	System Design Capacity:	
Pre-Screen Provided () Yes Size:	Mesh Cleaning Frequency:	() No
Pore Size Rating: Pre-filter:	μ Final Filter:	μ
Final Filter Absolute or Nominal Rated ()	Absolute () Nominal	
Pre-Treatment Chemical(s) Added to Raw Wa If "Yes", Chemical(s) Added and Application		
ASME Rated Filter Element Housings ()	Yes () No () NA	
Operable Means of Insuring System Integrity Pressure	() Bubble Point () Diff	ferential
() F	Particle Counter/Monitor () Other:	
Frequency of Integrity Verification:		
Alarm and Automatic System Shutdown on Fa	niled Integrity Test () Yes () No	
Turbidity Monitoring () Yes () No Mo In-Line Continuous Monitoring () Yes (Calibration Frequency:) No	ct
Recording Capability () Yes () No	How Many Days?	_
Alarm and System Shutdown on High Turbidi If "Yes", Shutdown Setpoint:		
Alarm Reported to:	Continuously Manned () Yes () N	10
Bypass to Waste on High Turbidity () Ye		
Manual System Restart or On-site Operator-In	itiated Automatic Restart () Yes () No)
Particle Counter () Yes () No Moni Particle Monitor () Yes () No Moni In-Line Continuous Particle Count/Index Feed In-Line Continuous Particle Count/Index Prod Recording Capability () Yes () No	toring Point(s) () Feed () Product Water Monitoring () Yes () No uct Water Monitoring () Yes () No	duct
Alarm and System Shutdown on High Count/I	ndex () Yes () No	
If "Yes", Shutdown Setpoint:		
Alarm Reported to: Dynama to Weste on High Porticle Count/Index	Continuously Manned () Yes () N	0
Bypass to Waste on High Particle Count/Index Manual System Restart or On-site Operator-In		No

Water	
Page 2 of 3	

PART II - SYSTEM SURVEY INFORMATION (Filtration)

Alarm and System Shutdown on Contravention of Finished Water	er Disinfectant Residual
() Yes () No	
If "Yes", Shutdown Setpoint: mg/l - "CT" Requirement: "CT" Violations Since Last Inspection:	_ mg-min/L
Filter Appurtenances (operable and in good operating condition)	
All Valves/Controls Pressure Gauges Filter Rate-of-Flow Indicator Filter Rate-of-Flow Controls Backwash Rate-of-Flow Indicator Feed Pump(s) Backwash Pump(s)/Controls PLC/Solenoids Adequate Spare Parts Inventory Alarm and System Shutdown on High Differential Pressure	() Yes () No () Yes () No () Yes () No () NA () Yes () No
If "Yes", Shutdown Setpoint: psi Backwash Based Upon () Pressure Differential [psi	ize Range [μ]
Backwash Observed () Yes () No () NA Comments: _	
Is Filter-to-Waste Practiced Following Backwash Average Filter-to-Waste Duration: Filter-to-Waste at Design Filtration Rate Turbidity Monitored During Filter-to-Waste Particle Count/Index Monitored During Filter-to-Waste	() Yes () No () NA () Yes () No () NA

Water	
Page 3 of 3	

PART II - SYSTEM SURVEY INFORMATION (Filtration)

Test Run	Raw Water	Finished Water
Turbidity	Naw Water	Timshed Water
Flow Rate		
Particle Count/Index		
Chlorine Residual (Free)		
		Noted () Yes () No () NA
General Performance ()	Satisfactory () Unsa	tisfactory () Needs Attention
Maintenance Contract for File If "Yes", By:		e () Yes () No Last Service Date:
Physical Condition () S	Satisfactory () Unsat	isfactory () Needs Attention
Normal Filter Element(s)/Mo	dula(s) Panlacament F	7
Date Element(s)/Module(s) L Chemical Cleaning of Elemen	ast Replaced:nt(s) or Module(s) Practice	cticed () Yes () No () NA
Date Element(s)/Module(s) L Chemical Cleaning of Element If "Yes", Frequency:	ast Replaced: nt(s) or Module(s) Prac	cticed () Yes () No () NA nemical(s) Used: () Yes () No
Date Element(s)/Module(s) L Chemical Cleaning of Element If "Yes", Frequency: Chemical(s) Meet NSF Stand	ast Replaced: nt(s) or Module(s) Prac Ch	cticed () Yes () No () NA nemical(s) Used:
Date Element(s)/Module(s) L Chemical Cleaning of Element If "Yes", Frequency: Chemical(s) Meet NSF Stand Residuals Disposal: Residuals Treatment	ast Replaced: nt(s) or Module(s) Prac Ch	cticed () Yes () No () NA nemical(s) Used:
Date Element(s)/Module(s) L Chemical Cleaning of Elemen	ast Replaced: nt(s) or Module(s) Prac Ch	cticed () Yes () No () NA nemical(s) Used: () Yes () No

PART II – SYSTEM SURVEY INFORMATION (Filtration)
(Other than Conventional Media Filtration – Includes Membranes, Cartridges, etc.)

١	Λe	m	br	ลท	e]	Fil	te	rs

1.	No. of Membrane Units Provided: No. In Operation: Manufacturer
2.	Mode of Operation: () Parallel () Series
3.	Transmembrane pressure: Unit # psi Unit # Unit # Unit # Unit #
4.	No. of Particle Counters Provided: (1/Unit) No. In Operation: Unit # Particle Count (2 – 10 micron range): particles/80 mls Unit # Particle Count (2 – 10 micron range): particles/80 mls Unit # Particle Count (2 – 10 micron range): particles/80 mls Plant Shutdown Set Point: particles/ml
5.	No. of Effluent Turbidimeters: (1/unit) No. In Operation: Filtrate Turbidity: ntu Plant Shutdown Set Point: ntu
6.	Membrane Integrity Testing – Air Pressure Hold Test Frequency: Integrity test pressure set point: psi Plant Shutdown Set Point: psi drop over Other: (described)
7.	Membrane Flushing (Backwashing) – At plant Startup and as programmed Program Frequency: psi
8.	Process Wastewater Holding Tank Satisfactory: ()Yes () No () N/A Process Wastewater Tank Drain Flap Gate Operational: () Yes () No () N/A
9.	Air Supply System () N/A No. of Air Compressors Provided: No. in Operation: Air Regulating Valves Operational: () Yes () No Required Minimum Air Pressure Setting: psi Plant Shutdown Setting: psi Pressures meeting required minimums: () Yes () No Manual Air Gauges Operable: () Yes () No Compressor condensation drain lines open and screened: () Yes () No Auto Plant Shutdown for low air pressure operable: () Yes () No

<u>PART II – SYSTEM SURVEY INFORMATION (Filtration)</u> (Other than Conventional Media Filtration – Includes Membranes, Cartridges, etc.) Page Two

10.	Clean In Place (CIP) System		
	Frequency: Last Performed:		
	Clean In Place Tank Heater Operable:	() Yes	() No
	Clean In Place Tank Satisfactory:	() Yes	() No
	Chemical Feed Pumps Provided:	() Yes	() No
	Cleaning Products:	() Yes	() No
	Satisfactory:	() Yes	() No
	CIP Manual Control Valves Operable:	() Yes	() No
	Recirculation Pump Operable:	() Yes	() No
	Spare recirculation pump available:	() Yes	() No
	PH Meter Operable:	() Yes	() No
11.	Overall appearance/performance:	() Satisfactory	() Unsatisfactory

Water

PART II - SYSTEM SURVEY INFORMATION (REVERSE OSMOSIS)

REVERSE OSMOSIS:	Satisfa	ctory?	Comments
{name here}	Yes	No	
Treatment purpose			(Microbial, Fluoride removal, Desalination, other)
Number & configuration			(Pre-filters, RO units)
Equipment condition			
Equipment operation			(Actual Feed Rate = gpm; Recovery = %; Design Feedrate = gpm; Recovery = %)
O&M manual available			Of the second of
Bypass for blending			(Treated = % or gpm; Bypassed = % or gpm)
Flowmeters			Location Reading Condition
•			
•			
•			
Pressure gauges			Location Reading Condition
•			
•			
•			
Test equipment			(Equipment; Availability; Condition)
Performance Monitoring			Parameter Frequency Value
Element replacement			(Schedule or condition; Date last replaced)
Chemical Pretreatment			Refer to table below
Chemicals meet NSF 60			
Concentrate Disposal			(Location, Condition)
Field test results			Temp pH Na F Other
- Applied water			
- Recovered water			
- Blended water			

Pretreatment	Function	Conc.	Feedrate	Storage	Remarks
Chemical				Location/Quantity	
	Acid for pH				
	adjustment				
	Antiscalant				
	Sequestration				
	of Fe & Mn				

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DIVISION OF DRINKING WATER GROUNDWATER SYSTEM SANITARY SURVEY REPORT

То:				7	Water		
Survey Date:		Survey	Type: Routine				
Present at Surve	y:						
Follow-up Surve	ey Scheduled	For:					
Future Sampling	Requiremen	ts:					
Next Sample Date	Inorganics	Metals	Radiological	VOCs	SOCs	Nitrates	Lead/Copper
		C	AMPLE TAP LO		T C		
Source Nam	ne Ra		Tap Number and			Point Num	ber and Location
			above, the Depa ur findings, or do				
			Su	rvey by: _			
vDH - Div		Iealth Dep king Wate					

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DIVISION OF DRINKING WATER GROUNDWATER SYSTEM SANITARY SURVEY REPORT

- Page 2 -

	Water	
Comments (cont.):		
		

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA DIVISION OF DRINKING WATER FIELD OFFICE - DISTRICT

WATERWORKS EVALUATION

SURFACE SOURCE

(Less Than 10,000)

* (Waterworks Name) *

PWSID Number					
conducted	(date)				



TO:	PWSID
	Subject:
	Water
WATERWORKS SANITA GENERAL	$RY SURVEY REPORT(\leq 10,000)$
Contact Person:	Title:
Telephone: ()	
4. Survey by:	Time spent on-site:
Survey type: Routine Con	nplaint Special
Waterworks type: Community	NTNC Transient NC
5. Date of survey:	Date memo written:
6. Date of last survey://	_
	Date:
	Date:
	Effective deter
	Effective date:
10. Permitted capacity:	
Operating with in permitted capacity? () Yes	() No
1	Permit or Variances or Exemptions issued? () Yes () No
11. Number of connections:	Population served:
12. Date of description sheet://	Up to date? Yes () No ()
Inventory/SDWIS records up to date? Yes (If either is no, discuss:) No()

	Page 2	2	PWSIE)
SUMMARY				
Problems Identified at last survey:			Correct	ted?
1.			Yes	
2.			No	*
3.			No	*
Comments/Recommendations/Necessary	Actions:			
Commence recommendations recessary	TICTIONS.			
1. Those items listed above and mar	ked with a	n asterisk	still ne	ed to be addressed.
2.				
3.				
Attachments:				
☐ Part B				
□ Part C				
pc/att: Par	ts () A	()B	() C	
Par Par Par	ts () A	()B	() C	
Pai	ts () A ts () A	()B	() C fo	or Dental Health
pc:Healt	n Departme	ent		
VDH - Division of Drinking Wa	ter			

PART A

A. WATER QUALITY COMPLIANCE MONITORING

Sample Type	Last Sample Date	Next Sample Due Date	Problems or Violations	Samples Collected *
Inorganic				
NO ₂ /NO ₃				
Metals				
Radiological				
VOCs				
SOCs				
Cyanide				
TTHMs				
HAA				
Unregulated Inorganics				
Unregulated Organics				
Lead/Copper				

Sar	nple Collected Type:	1- compliance	2 - complaint	3 - special investigation
1.	Does waterworks use If No, discuss:		` ' ' ' '	
2.	Bacteriological sample	siting report approve	ed? () Yes () No If	yes, date approved:
3.	Samples collected in ac	cordance with repor	t? () Yes () No	
4.	Bacteriological sample	s required per month	:	
5.	Notices of bacteriologic (If yes, discuss below)	cal monitoring nonce	ompliance since last ins	spection: () Yes () No
6.	Notices of bacteriologic	cal quality noncomp	liance since last inspec	tion: () Yes () No

7.	Fluoride split samples up to date? Yes () No () N/A ()					
	Comments/Discussion:					
8.	8. WQP monitoring required? Yes () No () If yes is monitoring satisfactory? Yes () No ()					
9.	. Disinfection residuals entering the distribution system meets requirements(0.2 mg/L)? Yes () No ()					
10	. Disinfection profile available for review (staff must review). Yes () No ()					
11	. Acceptable Monthly Operation Reports Submitted? Yes () No () . If No , explain:					
В.	RAPID MIX					
1.	1. Number of units: Number in service:					
2.	2. Type of mixing provided: () conventional () static in-line () other					
3.	Operable mixer available to meet mixing requirements Yes () No ()					
4.	4. Describe operation: -variable speed control operational? Yes() No() NA() -evidence of vortexing? Yes() No() -proper mixing obtained? Yes() No()					
5.	Required chemicals applied at point(s) of application: Yes () No ()					
	Chemical Feed Documentation					
	Chemical Applied Application Point Feed Rate					
6.	Spare mixer provided? Yes() No() NA()					
7.	General performance: () satisfactory () needs attention					
8.	Physical condition: () satisfactory () needs attention					
Co	omments:					

d Rate

Yes() No()

3. Signs of short circuiting/overloads?

4. Evidence of floc shear at stilling wall?	Yes () No () unit effluent? Ye	s() No()		
5. Floc carry-over observed?	Yes () No ()			
6. Floc settleability:	Satisfactory Yes () No () Needs Attention Yes () No ()			
7. Sludge removal:	() manual () mechanical			
a. Frequency/criteria:				
b. Last drained/cleaned:				
c. Excessive sludge accumulation: Yes	s() No() If 'yes', estimate sludge	blanket depth:		
8. Chemical Feed Documentation				
Chemical Applied	Application Point	Feed Rate		
9. General performance: () satisfactor	ory () needs attention			
10. Physical condition: () satisfactor	ory () needs attention			
Comments:				
E. <u>FILTRATION</u>				
1. No. of filters provided:	No. in operation:			
2. Filter media: sand () sand/anthracite () other ()			
date media last added or changed				
3. Filtration rate: Design: mgd at _ Observed: mgd at _ Exceeds permitted rate? Yes ()	gpm/square foot			

	Was filtration rate checked					
	Filter No	Rate	2:	_ gpm/square	foot	
	T . 1 1	City				
	Frequency operator checks					
	date last checked:_					
4. I	Filter appurtenances evaluation):				DATE
		<u>OPERA</u>			<u>ONDITION</u>	LAST CALIBRATED
	filter rate-of-flow controls:				No()	
t	backwash pump(s)/controls:	Yes ()	No ()	Yes ()	No ()	
f	ilter rate-of-flow indicator:	Yes ()	No ()	Yes ()	No ()	
				Yes ()		
1	oss of head gauges:	Yes ()	No ()	Yes ()	No ()	
t	backwash rate-of-flow indicator	r: Yes ()	No ()	Yes ()	No()	
5. I	Filter backwash practices:					
т	71, 1 1 1 1 1 / 1	1 11 1	1 .	1 \ 37	() NT ()	`
1	Filter backwash based on (plan	t establish	ed maximu	m values): Ye	s()No()
() head loss [feet] countsparticles/				y [NTU] () particle
	Recent history for backwar		ast three mo			
	filter run times:		_ hours	\mathcal{C}		
	head loss:		_ feet			
	turbidity:					
	particle count:					
	-					
	Filter backwash observed? Satisfactory?	, ,	. ,	NA()		
	Frequency operator checks					
	date last checked:_					
	Criteria used to stop backy typical backwash d	vash:				
	typicai backwasii c	.u.au.011				
	Frequency operator checks	s filter bed	expansion			
	date last checked:_		F			

6. Does plant practice filter-to-waste after backs	swash?: () Yes () No () NA
criteria established for filter-to-waste: d	luration
average filter-to-waste time:is turbidity monitored during filter to water particles counterd/monitored during	
is filter to waste at design filtration rate	e?() Yes() No
7. Is filter backwashed after any/all shutdowns? if no, does operator start filter with filte to system?	? () Yes () No er-to-waste after filter has been idle, before delivering flow () Yes () No
8. Surface wash provided:	() Yes () No Operable? ()Yes () No backflow preventer provided? () Yes () No () NA
9. Air wash provided:	() Yes () No Operable? ()Yes () No
10. General performance: () satisfactory	() needs attention
11. Physical condition: () satisfactory	() needs attention
12. Chemical Feed Documentation	
Chemical Applied	Application Point Feed Rate
Comments:	
F. FINISHED WATER FACILITIES	
Clear well	
1. Cical wen	
access protected from contamination overflow protected from contamination adequate drain screened vent(s) watertight roof/cover hatch(s) secure viewing port with light sediment present last cleaned:	() Yes () No () Yes () No

	Physical condition: () sat	isfactory () needs atte	ention	
2.	Finished water pumps: () NA	L			
	number of pumps provided: number of pumps operable: number of pumps in use: pressure gauges provided/ope flow meter operable pumping rate:	rable	() Yes () Yes	* *	
	Physical condition: () sat	isfactory () no	eeds attenti	on	
3.	Is clearwell water level monitored	/controlled?	() Yes	() No () NA	
4.	Are level sensors operable?		() Yes	() No () NA	
5.	Chemical Feed Documentation				
	Chemical Applied	A	pplication F	Point	Feed Rate
	Approved backflow device to iso omments:	ate process w	ater at trea	ment plant fron	n distribution system? () Yes () No
G	WASTE HANDLING				
1.	Backwash, filter-to-waste, and/or () lagoons () holding tank/sand beds () other				
2.	Ultimate discharge of waste flows	:			
3.	Provisions for waste recycle to her Is waste recycle practiced? Is recycle stream monitored?	nd of plant?	() Yes	() No	what is monitored?

	Is approved treatment provided for recycle flow VDH approval date:	vs? () Yes () N	0
	If yes, describe.		
	Are floor drains in chemical storage and feed at	reas separated from p	rocess waste flow streams? () Yes () No
Co	mments:		
Н.	CHEMICAL FEED FACILITIES		
1.	The following chemicals are fed at this facility:		
	() alum () pre-soda ash () ferric salt () post-soda ash () ferrous salt () carbon () pre-lime () fluoride () corrosion inhibitor () other(s)) post-chlorine) KMnO ₄	() chlorine dioxide
	Chemicals certified to meet NSF Standard 60?	Yes () No ()	
2.	All feeders in good condition?	Yes() No()	
3	Adequate ventilation provided?	Yes() No()	
4.	Spare feeders provided where needed?	Yes() No()	
5.	Adequate backflow prevention on solution water?	Yes() No()	
	anti-siphon devices on feed lines?	Yes () No ()	
6.	Feeders calibrated on a regular basis?	Yes () No ()	
	frequency operators calibrate feeders:date last calibrated:		
	frequency operators check calibrations:date last checked:		
	Adequate Chemical Storage area provided (space, spill prevention)?	Yes() No()	

7.	Chlorination/chlorine feed appurtenances:	
	adequate ventilation	Yes() No()
	cylinders chained	Yes() No() NA()
	panic hardware	Yes() No() NA()
	cylinder repair kit	Yes() No() NA()
	chlorine scales operable	Yes() No() NA()
	automatic change-over provided/operable	Yes() No() NA()
	vent properly installed/screened	Yes() No() NA()
	leak detection (type:)	Yes() No()
	outside entrance/exit	Yes() No()
	room gas-tight, floor drains sealed	Yes() No()
8.	Fluoridation/fluoride feed appurtenances:	
	scales provided and in good	Yes() No() NA()
	operating condition	
	water meter/fluoride feed pacer/other control	system provided, in good operating condition
		Yes() No() NA()
	anti-siphon protection on all feed lines	Yes() No() NA()
	fluoride chemical storage satisfactory	Yes() No() NA()
	water softener provided and in good operating condition	Yes () No () NA ()
	softener effluent hardness maintained <75 mg/l	Yes() No() NA()
9.	Is corrosion control practiced at this facility: if yes, indicate method(s): () pH/alkalinity adjustment () corrosion inhibitor	
	() other	
10.	Physical condition of chemical feed facilities:	() satisfactory () needs attention
11.	Adequate safety equipment provided for chemical handling (i.e., rubber gloves, breathi	Yes () No () ng apparatus, goggles, aprons, etc.)?
12.	Do any of the chemical storage or handling facilit	ies offer potential for explosions? Yes () No ()

13. Other safety p	roblems for the operators	or public noted? Yes () No	o() Describe
Comments:			
I. <u>OPERATIO</u>	NAL/PERFORMANCE	DATA	
1. At time of survey			
Test run	RAW Frequency/	APPLIED Frequency/	FINISHED Frequency/
	Results	Results	Results
Turbidity			
pН			
Hardness			
Alkalinity			
Temperature			
Free Cl ₂			
Fluoride			
Iron			
Manganese			
Other			
Note: * – performanc	ee of this test was observe	d	
- performanc	e of this test was observe	u.	
Plant flow at t	ime of inspection:		
Raw w	vater		
Finish	ed water		

2. Chemical Dosages:	
primary coag.	@ mg/l
	@ mg/l
	@ mg/l
	lb/day or mg/l
	lb/day or mg/l
others	@ mg/l
_	@ mg/l
	@ mg/l
	ues for individual filter effluents:
	NTU toNTU
Comments:	
Comments.	
J. LABORATORY	//STAFF MONITORING PROCEDURES
1. Following equipment	provided and in good condition?
turbidimeter	Yes () No ()
pH meter	Yes() No()
jar test machine	Yes () No ()
Zeta meter	Yes() No() NA()
pilot filters	Yes() No() NA()
streaming current	monitor Yes() No() NA()
particle counter/r	
If	yes, locations monitored
2 4 1	111
	ent and reagents available to run
necessary operati	
are reagents date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
reagents out of da	
are test procedure	s appropriate? Yes () No ()
are desk-top units	calibrated at Yes () No ()
appropria	te intervals?
2 Eluopido tast utilizado	
3. Fluoride test utilized: equipment in goo	d condition? Ves () No () NA ()
1 1	
standards up-to-d	ate? Yes() No() NA()

4.	Is continuous pH monitoring equipment provided and in good condition? Yes () No () NA () do continuous monitor readings correspond to desk-top readings? Yes () No () N/A () Frequency of continuous monitoring unit calibration:			
5.	Does plant have laboratory capability for:			
	algae counts and identification? Yes () No () Wes () No ()			
	threshold odor determinations? Yes () No () iron and manganese analyses? Yes () No ()			
6.	Adequate backflow prevention devices at sinks, etc. Yes () No ()			
7.	s a daily log/data sheet maintained? Yes () No () Were those drilly log/data sheets reviewed? Yes () No ()			
	Were these daily log/data sheets reviewed? Yes () No ()			
	Are the daily log/data sheets adequate? Yes () No ()			
	Is the frequency of operational data Yes () No () collection adequate?			
	Are there any obvious problems noted from Yes () No () the log entries?			
	Are changes in operating conditions noted? Yes () No ()			
K	OPERATING STAFF			
1.	Water treatment plant classification: I() II() III() IV()			
2.	Operator in responsible charge (OIRC): License number: Class:			
3.	Is OIRC () on staff () on contract () other			
4.	List Name, Class and License Numbers of other operating staff (shift supervisors, etc.):			
5.	5. How are plant operating decisions made and communicated?			
6.	Hours plant is operated per day:			
	Hours per day OIRC is present:			
7.	Are there criteria and procedures established for plant shut down in case of unit process failure or upset or in event of significant overall quality degradation? () Yes () No			

L. OPERATIONAL STAFF MONITORING PROCEDURES

) No) No) No) No) No
) No) No) No) No) No
) No) No) No
) No) No
) No
) No
) No
) No
9
0
ng?
() No
) No
) No
lo () N/A
)Stablcal
,Buoicui

4.	Method of chlorine residual compliance monitoring	:
	Continuous residual monitor operational? Has operable alarm?	() Yes () No ()Yes () No
	if system serves less than 3300, frequency of residu	al monitoring:
	free chlorine residual measured and reported?	() Yes () No
5.	Chlorine residual necessary to meet CT requiremen	ts:
	mg/l free chlorine as measured at	
	Is staff aware of the required minimum residual?	() Yes () No
	Is this concentration being continuously met?	() Yes () No
	if "No", is staff checking other parameters (pH, appropriate steps to ensure that CT requirements are	1
6.	Overall appearance of laboratory: () satisfactory	() needs attention
_		

PART B

A. RAW WATER INTAKE/SOURCE EVALUATION

Source Name:		
Intake located on: () stream	() reservoir	
Observed (visible) water quality:		
() clear () colored	() turbid () other	
Activities or pollution sources in the represent significant/acute health risk Describe:	x: () Yes () No	,
Has there been a contamination ever	at since last evaluation (date of evalu	nation)? () Yes ()
If "Yes", discuss:		
Reservoir level/stream flow: () no	rmal () high () low	
For in-stream intake:		
check dam provided:		() Yes () No
stream flow rate monitoring		() Yes () No
Condition of intake structure:		
screen provided: condition of screen:	() manual () mechanical () () satisfactory () needs attention	
method of cleaning screen:		
if mechanical, is it operable/used: number of intake levels provided:	depths:	
drawoff depth/level being used:	deptils:	
CHAWOLL GEDITI/TEVEL DELITY USED		

8.	Raw	water pumps	
		per provided: number operal per in use: pumping rate:	
	Prese	nt water demand exceeds pumping rate	? () Yes () No
	protec	o station subject to flooding: cted against trespassing/vandalism: s road to pump station maintained? were pumps/valves last maintained/che	() Yes () No
9.	Treatmen	t provided at intake (describe):	
10.	Physical	condition of intake: () satisfactory	y () needs attention
	Intake co	omponents restrict ability of the waterwo	orks to meet present demand? () Yes () No
11.	Present v	water demand exceeds source yield? ()	Yes () No
Co	mments:		
_			
В.		TRIBUTION SYSTEM EVALUATIO	<u>N</u>
1.	Distri	bution System Survey	
	a.	Map of distribution system available frequency of map updates:	() Yes () No
	b.	Materials used: () ductile iron () cast iron () asbestos cement () galvanized () PVC () other plastic	c
	c.	Valve guide available	() Yes () No

2.	Piping	System	Maintenance

a.	Water audit conducted	() Yes	() No
	accountability determined?	() Yes	
	if yes,% accountability		,
	systematic leak detection program		() No
	systematic leak repair program		
	meter repair program	() Yes	
	records maintained	() Yes	
b.	Distribution system routinely flushed frequency:		() No
	records maintained	() Yes	() No
c.	Fire hydrants		
	operational status checked by whom:	() Yes	
	frequency:	/ \ T 7	
	records maintained	() Yes	
	flow tested	() Yes	
	flow coded	() Yes	() No
d.	Valve exercise program		
	valves checked for operability frequency:	() Yes	() No
	records maintained	() Yes	() No
e.	Corrosion control program		
	piping conditions examined	() Yes	() No
	water stability determined	() Yes	() No
	method:f	frequency:_	
	other corrosivity/corrosion control to	tests perforr	med
	method:f	frequency:_	
f.	Air/vacuum valves		
	checked for operability	() Yes	() No
	frequency:		
	protected from contamination	() Yes	() No

	a.	problems/complaints logged by owner in past year () taste & odor () discolored water/sediment in water () pressure problems (<20 psi at peak flow () service interruptions () other	
	b.	records kept for three years () Yes ()	No
	c.	leakage rates > 30% (poses unacceptable risk of ba	ack siphonage) () Yes () No
		discuss problem(s), resolution:	
4.	Wate	er quality and compliance monitoring	
	a.	Pb/Cu monitoring materials survey approved/date Samples collected in accordance with approved pla	
	b.	Chlorine residual analyses () free () total method: number of locations: frequency of monitoring: minimum residual measured:	-
	c.	Heterotrophic Plate Counts number of locations: frequency of monitoring: maximum count:	() Yes () No
	d.	Total Trihalomethanes number sample sites: sample locations representative of: maximum residence time (25%) normal population spread and system residence time	() Yes () No () Yes () No () Yes () No
	e.	Turbidity analyses locations representative	() Yes () No () Yes () No

3.

Distribution system problems

	f.	Records management for above monitoring records maintained for 3 years sampling locations marked on map	() Yes () No () Yes () No
5.	Is rec	Phlorination practiced?	() Yes () No
	Type	of equipment	
		adequate ventilation cylinders chained panic hardware cylinder repair kit chlorine scales operable automatic change-over provided/operable vent properly installed/screened leak detection (type:) outside entrance/exit room gas-tight, floor drains sealed	Yes() No() Yes() No() NA(Yes() No() Yes() No() Yes() No()
Com	ments:	OSS CONNECTION CONTROL PROGRAM	
1.		utility/plant have an approved Cross-Connection Control Program? date of approval: person in responsible charge:	() Yes() No
2.		dic inspections/surveys made in accordance with the oved program?	() Yes() No
3.		flow prevention devices tested in accordance with the oved program?	() Yes() No
4.		ooster/jockey/fire pumps in system equipped with low sure cut-off switches on suction lines? are the cut-off switches tested?	() Yes () No () Yes () No
5.	Are i	nspection/test records maintained? location: records maintained for 10 years? were records reviewed?	() Yes() No () Yes() No () Yes() No
		are records satisfactory?	() Yes() No

D. Distribution Storage

STO	DRAGE TANK NAME		
	WATER QUALITY PROTECTION		
	1. Structure Watertight		
	2. Vent Shielded and Screened		
	3. Drain Satisfactory		
4	4. Tank Overflow		
	a. Screened		
	b. Surface Air Gap Provided		
	c. Splashpad/Erosion		
:	5. Access:		
	a. Roof Hatch Watertight		
	b. Sidewall Access Watertight		
	c. Accesses Locked/Bolted		
	6. Other Tank Openings		
	a. Curbed and Sleeved		
	b. Covered		
	7. Maintenance/Repair Date		
	B. Last Date of Professional Tank Survey(recommend~5yr)		
9	. Tank(s) Appears Structurally Sound?		
	(Discuss in "Comments" below)		
	. Properly modified for Antennae?		
В.	WATER QUALITY MAINTENANCE		
	1. Sample Tap Available		
	2. Frequency Samples Collected		
	3. Floating Debris Observed		
	4. Good Turnover Potential		
	5. Flushed/Cleaned Date		
Α.	OPERATION		
	1. Tank Level Controls Operable		
	a. Automatic		
	b. Manual		
	2. Tank Level Recorded		
	3. Automatic Recorder Operable		
В.	CORROSION CONTROL		
ь.	Routine Interior Inspections Scheduled		
	2. Interior Corrosion Visible		
	3. Exterior Corrision Visible		
	Exterior Corrision Visiole Cathodic Protection Operable		
~			
C.	SAFETY		
	1. Interior/Exterior Ladder Condition		
	2. Interior/Exterior Ladder Guard		
	3. Adequate Railing Available		
	4. Safety Belt Available	 	
D.	LOT		
	1. Upkeep		
	2. Access Road Maintained		
	3. Surface Water Diverted		
	4. Fence Condition Good		
	5. Access Locked		

Y=YES; N=NO; N/A=NOT APPLICABLE; N/1=NOT INSPECTED; NON=NONE; OK-ACCEPTABLE	
COMMENTS:	

E. Distribution Pump Station

PWSID _____

PU	MP STATION NAME		
A.	PUMP STATION LOT		
	1. Upkeep Adequate		
	2. Surface Water Diverted Away		
	3. Access Road Maintained		
В.	PUMP STATION BUILDING		
	1. Light Operable		
	2. Ventilation Operable		
	3. Heating Operable		
	4. Pump Gland Piped to Drain		
	5. Concrete Floor		
	6. Screened Floor Drain		
	7. Locked		
	8. Deterioration &/or Damage Evident		
	9. Storage of toxic chemicals		
C.	PUMP STATION OPERATIONS		
	1. No. of Pumps		
	2. All Operable		
	3. Pump Controls:		
	a. Automatic		
	b. Manual		
	4. Pump Alternation:		
	a. Automatic		
	b. Manual 5 Flow Meter Operable		
	5. Flow Meter Operable6. Low Pressure Cut-off		
	7. Alarm Operable		
	8. Compound Gauges Operable		
	9. Cross Connections are present		
	7. Closs Connections are present		
D.	PUMP MAINTENANCE		
	1. Pump Service Schedule		
	2. Pump Service Recorded		
	3. Discharge Gate Valve		
	4. Suction Gate Valve		
	5. Check Valve		
E.	HYDROPNEUMATIC TANKS		
	1. Pressure Gauge		
	2. Pressurizing System		
	3. Pressure Operating Range		
	4. Sight Gauge		
	5. Drain		
	6. Pressure Relief Valve		
	7. Vacuum Relief Valve		
	8. Exterior Condition		
	9. Dept.of Labor & Industry Date(>120 gal)		
	10. Normal Pump Cycling		

Y=YES; N=NO; N/A=NOT APPLICABLE; N/I	=NOT INSPECTED; NON=NONE; OK=ACCEPTABLE				
Items repaired/replaced since last inspection:					
Comments:					

SUPPLEMENTAL AND USEFUL WORKSHEETS

(the use of these worksheets is optional) (attach these to report if used during survey)

- 1. Jar Test Results
- 2. Filter Drop Test Results
- 3. Other pages as developed and appropriate

Jar Test Results

Plant:				-				
Date:				_				
Performed	By:			_				
Raw Water	r Characteris	tics:						
	pН	_		$^{-0}$ F				
	Temperatur	e _						
	Turbidity	_		_ NTU (Tur				
	•		mg/L as CaC		()			
	Total Hardı	ness (mg/L as CaO	CO_3) (TH)			
Jar	Coag.	Alk.	Floc	Floc	Settled	Settled	Settled	Settled
No.	Dose	Adj.	Forming	Settling	рН	Alk.	TH	Turb.
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)		Charac.	-			
1	, ,							
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
Coagulant	Used:	All	kalinity Adju	ıstment:				
RPN	M for	minutes; _	RPM	I forr	minutes;	RPM	I for	minutes
Settling Tin	me:	minut	es					

Filter Drop Test Results

Plant:	
Date:	
Inspected By:	
No. of Filters:	
Filter Box Area:	
Gullet Area:	
Effective Filter Surface Area:	
Volume Filtered:	

Filter No.	Time For 6" Drop	Calc. Filter Rate	Indicated Filter Rate	Head Loss Indicated	Head Loss Measured

PART C

SYSTEM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION Α. Is the system's management familiar with the system's facilities and their needs? () Yes() No 1. 2. Do managers have clear lines of communication established with plant and system operational staff? () Yes() No 3. Are operational policies clearly set forth and communicated/made available to operating staff? () Yes() No Has an Operations & Maintenance Manual been prepared for the plant and system? () Yes () No 4. is the manual kept up-to-date? () Yes() No 5. Does good communications flow exist between the Operator In Responsible Charge and other operating staff (particularly shift supervisors)? () Yes() No Are shift supervisors held responsible for all decisions made while on duty? () Yes() No 6. 7. Do Operator in Responsible Charge and shift supervisors have 24-hour access to management staff capable of authorizing emergency expenditures? () Yes() No 8. Has an emergency operations plan been established? () Yes() No has the plan been tested? () Yes() No is the plant equipped with an emergency power generator capable of powering plant at average flow? () Yes () No other provisions for continuous operability () Yes() No 9. Are procedures established to notify customers of health advisories, water conservation restrictions, or other emergencies? () Yes() No 10. Does current staffing meet regulatory requirements (for both numbers and classes)? () Yes () No Are sufficient staff (plant, system, and laboratory) provided? ()Yes ()No Will system be adequately staffed in case of illness or vacation? () Yes() No

() Yes() No

11.

Are personnel adequately trained?

12.	Is there an active, on-going staff training program, either in-house or outside?	() Yes() No
13.	Are there problems with personnel turnover?	() Yes() No
14.	Does management have plans for addressing system growth or regulatory requirements for improvements?	() Yes() No
15.	Are sufficient funds allocated for system maintenance and upkeep?	() Yes() No
	Is a sinking fund established to cover necessary replacements (or system expansion/improvement)?	() Yes() No
16.	Is there an established safety program?	() Yes() No
17.	Are preventative maintenance tasks scheduled and performed?	() Yes() No
18.	Has the system completed the public notification required by the Lead Contamination Control Act?	() Yes() No
	delivered how: when: documentation given to DDW: () Yes/when () No	
	are new connections to the system notified? () Yes () No	
19.	If Notices of Violation for monitoring, Maximum Contaminant Level exce problems have been issued to the waterworks, has public notification been accor- and promptly?	,
	Are new connections to the system notified of current or unresolved problems?	() Yes () No
20.	<u> •</u>	() Yes () No () Yes () No () Yes () No

B. SOURCE WATER ASSESSMENT/ PROTECTION

1. Source Water Assessment Update:

RISK

LUA TYPE

List land use activities of concern found but not listed in Zone 1 for the original source water assessment.

OWNER

() Yes() No

NAME OF

LATITUDE/LONGITUDE

		PROPERTY OWNER	ADDRESS	
2. Source Water Does the If "Yes":	waterworks have	a written source water protect	ion plan?	() Yes() No
h	as the source water	er protection plan been submit	ted for review?	() Yes() No
		cient additional development ater protection plan?	in the watershed to v	warrant () Yes() No
	discuss:			

If "No": Has a schedule been established to develop a plan? () Yes () No Discuss:

3.	Does waterworks have a spill response plan? has it been tested?	() Yes() No () Yes() No
4.	Has there been a contamination event since last sur-	vey (date of evaluation

if "Yes", dis	cuss (source, materials an	d quantities involved,	effects on plant and distribution
etc.):		,	1

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA DIVISION OF DRINKING WATER FIELD OFFICE - DISTRICT

WATERWORKS EVALUATION

SURFACE SOURCE

(Equal to or Greater Than 10,000 population served)

* (Waterworks Name) *

PWSID Number					
conducted _	(date)				



TO:	PWSID
	Subject: Water
WATERWORKS SANIT GENERAL	CARY SURVEY REPORT(≥10,000)
1. Facility name:	
2. Facility owned by:	
	Title:
Telephone: ()	
4. Survey by:	Time spent on-site:
Survey type: Routine Co	omplaint Special
Waterworks type: Community	NTNC Transient NC
5. Date of survey:	Date memo written:
6. Date of last survey://	
	Date: Date:
8. Present at survey:	
9. Operation Permit No	Effective date:
10. Permitted capacity:	
Operating with in permitted capacity? () Ye	es () No
	n Permit or Variances or Exemptions issued? () Yes () No
11. Number of connections:	Population served:
12. Date of description sheet://_	Up to date? Yes () No ()
Inventory/SDWIS records up to date? Yes	() No()

If either is no, discuss:

		Page 2		PWSII	D
SUMMARY					
Problems Identified at	last survey:			Correc	eted?
1.				Yes	
2.				No	*
3.				No	*
Comments/Recomme	ndations/Necessary A	ctions:			
1. Those items li	sted above and marke	d with an	asterisk	c still no	eed to be addressed.
2.					
3.					
					were noted during the survey. You are on what schedule the deficiencies will
Attachments:	□ Part A				
Attachments.	□ Part B				
	□ Part C				
	Parts Parts Parts Parts Parts Parts	() A () A	()B ()B	() C () C () C () C fe	or Dental Health
pc: VDH - Divisi	Health Don of Drinking Water		nt		

PART A

<u>Adentifies a Significant Deficiency</u>

A. WATER QUALITY COMPLIANCE MONITORING

Sample Type	Last Sample Date	Next Sample Due Date	Problems or Violations	Samples Collected *
Inorganic				
NO ₂ /NO ₃				
Metals				
Radiological				
VOCs				
SOCs				
Cyanide				
TTHMs				
НАА				
Unregulated Inorganics				
Unregulated Organics				
Lead/Copper				

* Sa	mple Collected Type:	1- compliance	2 - complaint	3 - special investigation
∄.	Does waterworks use c If No, discuss:	•	* /	
∄.	Bacteriological sample	siting report approve	d? () Yes () No I	f yes, date approved:
∄.	Samples collected in ac	cordance with report	? () Yes () No	
4.	Bacteriological sample	s required per month:		
5.	Notices of bacteriologi (If yes, discuss below)	cal monitoring nonco	mpliance since last in	nspection: () Yes () No
6.	Notices of bacteriologi (If yes, discuss below)	cal quality noncompli	iance since last inspec	ction: () Yes () No

7. Fluoride split samples up to date		
Comments/Discussion:		
8. WQP monitoring required? If yes is monitoring satisfactory		
∃9. Disinfection residuals entering	the distribution system meets requirement Yes () No ()	ts(0.2 mg/L)?
∃10. Disinfection profile available for	review (staff must review). Yes	() No ()
11. Acceptable Monthly Operation F	Reports Submitted? Yes () No (). If No	o, explain:
B. RAPID MIX		
1. Number of units:	Number in service:	
2. Type of mixing provided: () co	onventional () static in-line () other	
∃3. Operable mixer available to mee	t mixing requirements Yes() No()	
-evidence of	eed control operational? Yes () No () f vortexing? Yes () No () ing obtained? Yes () No ())
∃5. Required chemicals applied at po	oint(s) of application: Yes() No()	
	Chemical Feed Documentation	
Chemical Applied	Application Point	Feed Rate
6. Spare mixer provided? Yes	() No() NA()	
7. General performance: () s		
8. Physical condition: () satisfactors	ory () needs attention	
Comments:		

1. Number of basins:	Number in service:	
2. Mode of operation: () series ()	parallel () NA	
3. All mixers operational?	Yes() No()	
∃4. Operable mixers available to meet m	nixing requirements: Yes () No ()	
5. Variable speed control operational?		
6. Tapered flocculation practiced?	Yes () No () NA ()	
-	ntinued plant operation? Yes () No ()	
-		17/11()
8. Are proper baffles/compartments pr Evidence of vortexing/basin short-ci		
∃9. <i>Overall floc formation</i> : () satisfactors Floc type/appearance: pin floc ()	ory () needs attention () undetectab fluffy() sweepfloc() other()	
10. Are polymers used? Yes () N	Jo ()	
10. Are polymers used? Yes () N11. Chemical Feed Documentation	Jo ()	
	Application Point	Feed Rate
11. Chemical Feed Documentation		Feed Rate
11. Chemical Feed Documentation		Feed Rate
11. Chemical Feed Documentation	Application Point	Feed Rate
11. Chemical Feed Documentation Chemical Applied	Application Point tory () needs attention	Feed Rate
11. Chemical Feed Documentation Chemical Applied 12. General performance: () satisfact	Application Point tory () needs attention	Feed Rate
11. Chemical Feed Documentation Chemical Applied 12. General performance: () satisfactors ()	Application Point tory () needs attention	Feed Rate
11. Chemical Feed Documentation Chemical Applied 12. General performance: () satisfact 13. Physical condition: () satisfact Comments:	Application Point tory () needs attention	Feed Rate
11. Chemical Feed Documentation Chemical Applied 12. General performance: () satisfactors ()	Application Point tory () needs attention	Feed Rate
11. Chemical Feed Documentation Chemical Applied 12. General performance: () satisfact 13. Physical condition: () satisfact Comments:	Application Point tory () needs attention ory () needs attention	Feed Rate

C.

FLOCCULATION/SLOW MIX

3. Signs of short circuiting/overloads?	Yes () No ()	
4. Evidence of floc shear at stilling wall?	Yes () No () unit effluent?	Yes () No ()
5. Floc carry-over observed?	Yes () No ()	
6. Floc settleability:	Satisfactory Yes () No () Needs Attention Yes () No ()
7. Sludge removal:	() manual () mechanical	
a. Frequency/criteria:		
b. Last drained/cleaned:		
∃c. Excessive sludge accumulation: Ye	s() No() If 'yes', estimate slud	ge blanket depth:
8. Chemical Feed Documentation		
Chemical Applied	Application Point	Feed Rate
9. General performance: () satisfact	tory () needs attention	
10. Physical condition: ()	satisfactory () needs attention	
Comments:		
E. <u>FILTRATION</u>		
1. No. of filters provided:	No. in operation:	
2. Filter media: sand () sand/anthracite	() other ()	
date media last added or changed		

3.	Filtration rate:			
	Design:	_ mgd at	gpm/square foot	
	Observed:			
	∃ Exceeds permitted rate?			
	Was filtration rate checked			
	Filter No	Rate:	gpm/square foot	
	Frequency operator check-date last checked:_	s filtration rate:		
4.	Filter appurtenances evaluation	ı:		DATE
		<u>OPERABLE</u>	GOOD CONDITION	LAST CALIBRATED
	filter rate-of-flow controls:			
\exists	backwash pump(s)/controls:	Yes () No ()	Yes () No ()	
	filter rate-of-flow indicator:	Yes () No ()	Yes () No ()	
	all valves/controls:			
	loss of head gauges:	Yes () No ()	Yes () No ()	
	backwash rate-of-flow indicato	r: Yes () No ()	Yes () No ()	
	Filter backwash practices: Filter backwash based on (plan () head loss [feet] counts particles/ml	() time []		
	Recent history for backwa	sh (over past three m	nonths ending):	
		Maximum	Average	
	filter run times:	hours	hours	
	head loss:	feet	feet	
	turbidity:	NTU	NTU	
	particle count:			
	Filter backwash observed: Satisfactory	Yes() No() Yes() No()		
	Frequency operator check-date last checked:	s backwash rate:		
	Criteria used to stop backy typical backwash	vash:luration:		

crit ave	eria established for erage filter-to-waste urbidity monitored	e time:during filter to w	vaste procedure?() Yes () No	
ave is to	erage filter-to-waste urbidity monitored particles counte <u>r</u> d/	e time: during filter to w monitored during	vaste procedure?() Yes () No	No
is to	urbidity monitored particles counte <u>r</u> d/	during filter to w monitored during	vaste procedure?() Yes () No g procedure?() Yes () No	No
			. () 165 () 110	
7. Is filter backwashed after any/all shutdowns' if no, does operator start filter with filte to system?		? () Yes () No er-to-waste after filter has been idle, before delivering flows () Yes () No		
8. Surface	wash provided:		() Yes () No Operable backflow preventer provide	e?()Yes()No d?()Yes()No()NA
9. Air was	sh provided:		() Yes () No Operable	e? ()Yes () No
10. General	l performance:	() satisfactory	() needs attention	
11. Physica	al condition:	() satisfactory	() needs attention	
12. Chemic	al Feed Documenta	ation		
C	hemical Applied		Application Point	Feed Rate

F. FINISHED WATER FACILITIES

1.	Clear well		
3 3 3 3	access protected from contamination overflow protected from contamination adequate drain screened vent(s) watertight roof/cover hatch(s) secure viewing port with light sediment present last cleaned:	() Yes () No () Yes () No	
	Physical condition: () satisfactory (() needs attention	
2.	Finished water pumps: () NA		
	number of pumps provided: number of pumps operable: number of pumps in use: pressure gauges provided/operable flow meter operable pumping rate: Physical condition: () satisfactory () in	() Yes () No () Yes () No gpm/mgd needs attention	
3.	Is clearwell water level monitored/controlled?	() Yes () No () NA	
4.	Are level sensors operable?	() Yes () No () NA	
5.	Chemical Feed Documentation		
	Chemical Applied A	Application Point	Feed Rate
	Approved backflow device to isolate process	water at treatment plant fron	n distribution system? () Yes () No
Cc	omments:		

G. WASTE HANDLING

 Backwash, filter-to-waste, and/or settlin lagoons holding tank/sand beds other 		s discharged	to:
2. Ultimate discharge of waste flows:			
3. Provisions for waste recycle to head of particle Is waste recycle practiced? Is recycle stream monitored?	() Yes	() No	s, what is monitored?
Is approved treatment provided for VDH approval date: If yes, describe.) Yes () N	Vo.
Are floor drains in chemical storage	e and feed areas sep	arated from [process waste flow streams? () Yes () No
Comments:			
H. CHEMICAL FEED FACILITIE	<u>S</u>		
1. The following chemicals are fed at this	ash () pre-cl a ash () post-c () KMn	O ₄	() ammonia() chlorine dioxide() filter aid
Chemicals certified to meet NSF St	andard 60? Yes ()	No ()	
2. All feeders in good condition?	Yes	() No()	
3. Adequate ventilation provided?	Yes	() No()	

4.	Spare feeders provided where needed?	Yes () No ()
5.	Adequate backflow prevention on solution water	? Yes() No()
	anti-siphon devices on feed lines?	Yes () No ()
6.	Feeders calibrated on a regular basis?	Yes () No ()
	frequency operators calibrate feeders:date last calibrated:	
	frequency operators check calibrations: date last checked:	
	Adequate Chemical Storage area provided	
	(space, spill prevention)?	Yes () No ()
7.	Chlorination/chlorine feed appurtenances:	
	adequate ventilation	Yes() No()
	cylinders chained	Yes() No() NA()
	panic hardware	Yes() No() NA()
	cylinder repair kit	Yes() No() NA()
	chlorine scales operable	Yes() No() NA()
	automatic change-over provided/operable	Yes() No() NA()
	vent properly installed/screened	Yes() No() NA()
	leak detection (type:)	Yes() No()
	outside entrance/exit	Yes() No()
	room gas-tight, floor drains sealed	Yes() No()
8.	Fluoridation/fluoride feed appurtenances:	
	scales provided and in good	Yes () No () NA ()
	operating condition	
	water meter/fluoride feed pacer/other control s	system provided, in good operating condition
		Yes () No () NA ()
	anti-siphon protection on all feed lines	Yes () No () NA ()
	fluoride chemical storage satisfactory	Yes () No () NA ()
	water softener provided and in good operating condition	Yes() No() NA()
	softener effluent hardness maintained <75 mg/l	Yes() No() NA()

9.	Is corrosion control practiced at this facility: Yes () No () if yes, indicate method(s): () pH/alkalinity adjustment () corrosion inhibitor () other
10.	Physical condition of chemical feed facilities: () satisfactory () needs attention
11.	Adequate safety equipment provided for Yes () No () chemical handling (i.e., rubber gloves, breathing apparatus, goggles, aprons, etc.)?
∃12.	Do any of the chemical storage or handling facilities offer potential for explosions? Yes () No ()
13.	Other safety problems for the operators or public noted? Yes () No () Describe
Coı	mments:

I. OPERATIONAL/PERFORMANCE DATA

1. At time of survey

Test run	RAW Frequency/ Results	APPLIED Frequency/ Results	FINISHED Frequency/ Results
Turbidity			
рН			
Hardness			
Alkalinity			
Temperature			
Free Cl ₂			
Fluoride			
Iron			
Manganese			

Other	
Note: * = performance of this test	t was observed.
	A-10
Plant flow at time of inspe	
-	
Finished water	
_	
. Chemical Dosages:	
primary coag.	@ mg/l
pre-pH/alk	@ mg/l
post-pH/alk	@ mg/l
	lb/day or mg/l
	lb/day or mg/l
	@ mg/l
	@ mg/l
	@ mg/l
LABORATORY/STAFF	F MONITORING PROCEDURES
. Following equipment provided	and in good condition?
turbidimeter	Yes () No ()
pH meter	Yes () No ()
jar test machine	Yes () No ()
Zeta meter	Yes() No() NA()
pilot filters	Yes() No() NA()
streaming current monitor	
particle counter/monitor	Yes() No() NA()
If yes, loca	ations monitored

2. Ar	e adequate equipment and reagents av	ailable to run	
	necessary operational tests?	Yes () No ()
	are reagents dated?	Yes () No ()
	reagents out of date?	Yes () No ()
	are test procedures appropriate?	Yes () No (
	are desk-top units calibrated at	Yes () No ()
	appropriate intervals?		
3. Flu	uoride test utilized:		
	equipment in good condition?	Yes () No () NA()
	standards up-to-date?	Yes () No () NA()
4. Is	continuous pH monitoring equipment	provided and in	good condition? Yes () No () NA ()
	do continuous monitor readings cor	respond to desk-	top readings? Yes () No () N/A ()
	Frequency of continuous monitoring	g unit calibration	:
5. Do	pes plant have laboratory capability fo	r:	
	algae counts and identification?		Yes () No ()
	threshold odor determinations?		Yes () No ()
	iron and manganese analyses?		Yes () No ()
6. Ad	lequate backflow prevention devices a	at sinks, etc.	Yes () No ()
$\exists 7. \textit{Is } a$	daily log/data sheet maintained?		Yes () <i>No</i> ()
	Were these daily log/data sheets rev	viewed?	Yes () No ()
	Are the daily log/data sheets adequa	ate?	Yes () No ()
	Is the frequency of operational data collection adequate?		Yes () No ()
	Are there any obvious problems not the log entries?	ted from	Yes () No ()
	Are changes in operating conditions	s noted?	Yes () No ()
K.	OPERATING STAFF		
1.	Water treatment plant classification	: I() II()	III() IV()
2.	Operator in responsible charge (OII	RC):	
	License number:	Class:	
3.	Is OIRC () on staff () on contract	() other	

4.	List Name, Class and License Numbers of other operating staff (shift supervisors, etc.):			
5.	How are plant operating decisions made and communicated?			
6.	Hours plant is operated per day:			
	Hours per day OIRC is present:			
7.	Are there criteria and procedures established for plant shut down in casor upset or in event of significant overall quality degradation?	se of unit process failure () Yes () No		
Com	ments:			
L.	OPERATIONAL STAFF MONITORING PROCEDURES			
∃1.	Is a daily log/data sheet maintained? Were these daily log/data sheets reviewed? Are the daily log/data sheets adequate? Is the frequency of operational data collection adequate? Are there any obvious problems noted from the log entries?	() Yes () No () Yes () No		
2.	How is the coagulation process controlled? () Pilot Filter () Jar Tests () Zeta Meter () Streaming Current Monitor Were coagulation control procedures observed/discussed? Were the procedures adequate?	() Yes () No () Yes () No		
3.	Turbidity Monitoring: A. What is the frequency of the representative (combined) filter efflutions () times per shift () continuous	uent turbidity monitoring?		
	Is this frequency adequate (at least every 4 hours)?	() Yes () No		
	Are continuous turbidity monitoring units provided and in good con-	dition?() Yes () No		

	B. Does each filter effluent have an individual continuous turbidity monitor? () Yes () No
	Does the filter effluent turbidity monitoring system have alarm set points?() Yes () No () N/A Alarm set point(s):Alarm type:audio,visual,other:
	Is data recorded at least every 15 minutes? () Yes () No
	C. Desk-top turbidimeter manufacturer: model no
	date last calibrated: calibration date posted: date bulb last changed: () Yes () No
	spare bulb on hand: condition of cuvettes:
	primary standard used: expiration date of primary standard: secondary standard used: () Amco AEPA-1 () Formazin () Stablcal ——————————————————————————————————
	expiration date of secondary standard: date secondary last compared to primary: turbidity-free water available: do continuous monitor readings correspond to desk-top unit readings?() Yes () No () NA
1.	Method of chlorine residual compliance monitoring:
	Continuous residual monitor operational?() Yes() No Has operable alarm?()Yes() No
	Free chlorine residual measured and reported? () Yes () No
5.	Chlorine residual necessary to meet CT requirements:
	mg/l free chlorine as measured at
	Is staff aware of the required minimum residual? () Yes () No
	∃ Is this concentration being continuously met? () Yes () No
	if "No", is staff checking other parameters (pH, temperatures, clearwell levels, etc.) and taking appropriate steps to ensure that CT requirements are being met on a continuous basis? () Yes () No
6.	Overall appearance of laboratory: () satisfactory () needs attention

Comments:

A-14 **PART B**

∄ dentifies a Significant Deficiency

A. RAW WATER INTAKE/SOURCE EVALUATION

Source Name:					
Intake located on: () stream					
Observed (visible) water quality:	()16561 (011				
Observed (visible) water quanty.					
() clear () colored	() turbid () other				
Activities or pollution sources in the represent significant/acute health risk Describe:	k: () Yes () No				
Has there been a contamination ever	nt since last evaluation (date of evaluation)	ation _)?	()	Yes ()
TC X7 1'					
If "Yes", discuss:					
Reservoir level/stream flow: () no. For in-stream intake:	rmal () high () low				
check dam provided: condition of check dam:		()	Yes	()	No
stream flow rate monitoring		()	Yes	()	No
Condition of intake structure:					
screen provided:	() manual () mechanical () r	none			
condition of screen:	() satisfactory () needs attention				
method of cleaning screen:					
:C 1 : - 1 : - :4 1- 1 - / 1.					
if mechanical, is it operable/used:					
if mechanical, is it operable/used: number of intake levels provided: drawoff depth/level being used:					

8.	Raw water pumps
	number provided: number operable: number in use: pumping rate:
I P	Present water demand exceeds pumping rate? () Yes () No
9. T	pump station subject to flooding: () Yes () No protected against trespassing/vandalism: () Yes () No access road to pump station maintained? () Yes () No when were pumps/valves last maintained/checked:
10. F	Physical condition of intake: () satisfactory () needs attention
3	Intake components restrict ability of the waterworks to meet present demand? () Yes () No
∃11. <i>I</i>	Present water demand exceeds source yield? () Yes () No
Com	ments:

B. <u>DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM EVALUATION</u>

1.	Distril	bution System Survey		
	a.	Map of distribution system available frequency of map updates:	() Yes	() No
	b.	Materials used: () ductile iron () cast iron () asbestos cement () galvanized () PVC () other plastic	c	
	c.	Valve guide available	() Yes	() No
2.	Piping	g System Maintenance		
	a.	Water audit conducted accountability determined? if yes,% accountability	() Yes () Yes	() No
		systematic leak detection program systematic leak repair program meter repair program records maintained	() Yes() Yes() Yes() Yes	() No () No
	b.	Distribution system routinely flushed frequency: records maintained		
	c.	Fire hydrants operational status checked by whom: frequency: records maintained flow tested flow coded		() No () No
	d.	Valve exercise program valves checked for operability frequency: records maintained	() Yes () Yes	() No

	€.	Corrosion Control program
		piping conditions examined () Yes () No
		water stability determined () Yes () No method: frequency:
		other corrosivity/corrosion control tests performed method: frequency:
	f.	Air/vacuum valves
	1.	checked for operability () Yes () No frequency:
		protected from contamination () Yes () No
3.	Distrib	oution system problems
	a.	problems/complaints logged by owner
		in past year () Yes () No () taste & odor
		() discolored water/sediment in water
		∃() pressure problems (<20 psi at peak flow) () service interruptions () other
	b.	records kept for three years () Yes () No
	∃ c.	leakage rates > 30% (poses unacceptable risk of back siphonage) () Yes () No
		discuss problem(s), resolution:
4.	Water	quality and compliance monitoring
	a.	Pb/Cu monitoring materials survey approved/date () Yes () No Samples collected in accordance with approved plan () Yes () No
	b.	Chlorine residual analyses () free () total method: number of locations: frequency of monitoring.
		frequency of monitoring: minimum residual measured:
	c.	Heterotrophic Plate Counts number of locations: () Yes () No

		maximum count:	
		B-4	
	d.	Total Trihalomethanes number sample sites: sample locations representative of:	() Yes () No
		maximum residence time (25%) normal population spread and system residence time	() Yes () No () Yes () No
	e.	Turbidity analyses locations representative	() Yes () No () Yes () No
	f.	Records management for above monitoring records maintained for 3 years sampling locations marked on map	() Yes () No () Yes () No
5.	Is re	chlorination practiced?	() Yes () No
	Тур	e of equipment	
		adequate ventilation cylinders chained panic hardware cylinder repair kit chlorine scales operable automatic change-over provided/operable vent properly installed/screened leak detection (type:) outside entrance/exit room gas-tight, floor drains sealed	Yes() No() Yes() No() NA(Yes() No() Yes() No() Yes() No() Yes() No()

frequency of monitoring:_____

Comments:

C. <u>CROSS CONNECTION CONTROL PROGRAM</u>

∃1.	Does utility/plant have an approved Cross-Conne Control Program? date of approval: person in responsible charge:	ection	() Yes()	No
	person in responsible charge.			
2.	Periodic inspections/surveys made in accordance approved program?	with the	() Yes()	No
3.	Backflow prevention devices tested in accordance approved program?	with the	() Yes()	No
4.	All booster/jockey/fire pumps in system equipped	with low		
••	pressure cut-off switches on suction lines?	***************************************	() Yes ()) No
	are the cut-off switches tested?		() Yes ()	
5.	Are inspection/test records maintained? location:	() Ye	es () No	
	records maintained for 10 years?	() Ye	es () No	
	were records reviewed?	() Ye	es () No	
	are records satisfactory?		es () No	

Comments:

D. Distribution Storage

PWSID

STORAGE TANK NAME		
A. WATER QUALITY PROTECTION		
31. Structure Watertight		
∃2. Vent Shielded and Screened		
3. Drain Satisfactory		
4. Tank Overflow		
$\exists a. Screened$		
b. Surface Air Gap Provided		
c. Splashpad/Erosion		
5. Access:		
∃a. Roof Hatch Watertight		
∃b. Sidewall Access Watertight		
c. Accesses Locked/Bolted		
6. Other Tank Openings		
a. Curbed and Sleeved		
b. Covered		
7. Maintenance/Repair Date		
8. Last Date of Professional Tank Survey(recommend~5yr)		
∃9. Tank(s) Appears Structurally Sound?		
(Discuss in "Comments" below)		
10. Properly modified for Antennae?		
B. WATER QUALITY MAINTENANCE		
Sample Tap Available		
2. Frequency Samples Collected		
3. Floating Debris Observed		
4. Good Turnover Potential		
5. Flushed/Cleaned Date		
C. OPERATION		
1. Tank Level Controls Operable		
a. Automatic		
b. Manual		
2. Tank Level Recorded		
3. Automatic Recorder Operable		
D. CORROSION CONTROL		
1. Routine Interior Inspections Scheduled		
2. Interior Corrosion Visible		
3. Exterior Corrision Visible		
4. Cathodic Protection Operable		
E. SAFETY		
1. Interior/Exterior Ladder Condition		
2. Interior/Exterior Ladder Guard		
3. Adequate Railing Available		
4. Safety Belt Available		
F. LOT		
1. Upkeep		
2. Access Road Maintained		
3. Surface Water Diverted		
4. Fence Condition Good		
5. Access Locked		

COMMENTS:			

Y=YES; N=NO; N/A=NOT APPLICABLE; N/1=NOT INSPECTED; NON=NONE; OK-ACCEPTABLE

E. Distribution Pump Station

PWSID _____

PUMP STATION NAME		
A. PUMP STATION LOT		
1. Upkeep Adequate		
2. Surface Water Diverted Away		
3. Access Road Maintained		
B. PUMP STATION BUILDING		
1. Light Operable		
2. Ventilation Operable		
3. Heating Operable		
4. Pump Gland Piped to Drain		
5. Concrete Floor		
6. Screened Floor Drain		
7. Locked		
8. Deterioration &/or Damage Evident		
∃9. Storage of toxic chemicals		
C. PUMP STATION OPERATIONS		
1. No. of Pumps		
2. All Operable		
3. Pump Controls:		
a. Automatic		
b. Manual		
4. Pump Alternation:		
a. Automatic		
b. Manual		
5. Flow Meter Operable		
6. Low Pressure Cut-off		
7. Alarm Operable		
8. Compound Gauges Operable		
∃9. Cross Connections are present		
D. PUMP MAINTENANCE		
1. Pump Service Schedule		
2. Pump Service Recorded		
3. Discharge Gate Valve		
4. Suction Gate Valve		
5. Check Valve		
E. HYDROPNEUMATIC TANKS		
1. Pressure Gauge		
2. Pressurizing System		
3. Pressure Operating Range		
4. Sight Gauge		
5. Drain		
6. Pressure Relief Valve		
7. Vacuum Relief Valve		
8. Exterior Condition		
9. Dept.of Labor & Industry Date(>120 gal)		
10. Normal Pump Cycling		

Y=YES; N=NO; N/A=NOT APPLICABLE; N/I=NOT INSPECTED; NON=NONE; OK=ACCEPTABLE
Items repaired/replaced since last inspection:
Comments:

SUPPLEMENTAL AND USEFUL WORKSHEETS

(the use of these worksheets is optional) (attach these to report if used during survey)

- 1. Jar Test Results
- 5. Filter Drop Test Results
- 3. Other pages as developed and appropriate

Jar Test Results

Plant:				-				
Date:				_				
Performed	By:			_				
Raw Water	•	re(mg/L as CaC	_ ⁰ F _ NTU (Tur CO ₃) (Alk CO ₃) (TH	·)			
Jar No.	Coag. Dose (mg/L)	Alk. Adj. (mg/L)	Floc Forming	Floc Settling Charac.	Settled pH	Settled Alk.	Settled TH	Settled Turb.
1	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	· · · · ·						
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
	Used:					RPM	I for	minutes
	me:							

Filter Drop Test Results

Plant:	
Date:	
Inspected By:	
No. of Filters:	
Filter Box Area:	
Gullet Area:	
Effective Filter Surface Area:	
Volume Filtered:	

Filter No.	Time For 6" Drop	Calc. Filter Rate	Indicated Filter Rate	Head Loss Indicated	Head Loss Measured

PART C

∄dentifies a Significant Deficiency

A. SYSTEM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

1. Is the system's management familiar with the system's facilities and their needs?	() Yes() No
2. Do managers have clear lines of communication established with plant and system	operational staff? () Yes() No
3. Are operational policies clearly set forth and communicated/made available to oper	rating staff?
	() Yes() No
4. Has an Operations & Maintenance Manual been prepared for the plant and system? is the manual kept up-to-date?	' () Yes () No () Yes () No
5. Does good communications flow exist between the Operator In Responsible Charg staff (particularly shift supervisors)?	ge and other operating () Yes() No
6. Are shift supervisors held responsible for all decisions made while on duty?	() Yes() No
7. Do Operator in Responsible Charge and shift supervisors have 24-hour access capable of authorizing emergency expenditures?	to management staff
8. Has an emergency operations plan been established? has the plan been tested? is the plant equipped with an emergency power generator capable	() Yes() No () Yes() No
of powering plant at average flow? other provisions for continuous operability	() Yes () No () Yes () No
9. Are procedures established to notify customers of health advisories, water conser other emergencies?	rvation restrictions, or () Yes() No
∃10. Does current staffing meet regulatory requirements (for both numbers and classe	es)?()Yes() <i>No</i>
Are sufficient staff (plant, system, and laboratory) provided? Will system be adequately staffed in case of illness or vacation?	()Yes () No () Yes () No
11. Are personnel adequately trained?	() Yes() No

12.	Is there an active, on-going staff training program, either in-house or outside?	() Yes() No
13.	Are there problems with personnel turnover?	() Yes() No
14.	Does management have plans for addressing system growth or regulatory requirements for improvements?	() Yes() No
15.	Are sufficient funds allocated for system maintenance and upkeep?	() Yes() No
	Is a sinking fund established to cover necessary replacements (or system expansion/improvement)?	() Yes() No
16.	Is there an established safety program?	() Yes() No
17.	Are preventative maintenance tasks scheduled and performed?	() Yes() No
18.	Has the system completed the public notification required by the Lead Contamination Control Act?	() Yes() No
	delivered how: when: documentation given to DDW: () Yes/when () No	
	are new connections to the system notified? () Yes () No	
19.	If Notices of Violation for monitoring, Maximum Contaminant Level exce problems have been issued to the waterworks, has public notification been accor- and promptly?	,
	Are new connections to the system notified of current or unresolved problems?	() Yes () No
20.	<u> •</u>	() Yes () No () Yes () No () Yes () No

Comments:

B. SOURCE WATER ASSESSMENT/ PROTECTION

List land use activities of concern found but not listed in Zone 1 for the original source water assessment.

LUA TYPE	RISK	NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER	OWNER ADDRESS	LATITUDE/LONGITUDE
		FROFERTTOWNER	ADDRESS	

Source Water Assessment Update:

ource Water				
Does the If "Yes":	() Yes() No			
ha	as the source wate	r protection plan been submit	tted for review?	() Yes() No
		cient additional development ter protection plan?	in the watershed to v	varrant () Yes() No
	discuss:			
If "No": I		en established to develop a pla cuss:	an? () Yes () No	
	erworks have a spas it been tested?	vill response plan?	() Yes() No () Yes() No	
Has there	been a contamina	ntion event since last survey (c	late of evaluation() Yes() No)?
	discuss (source, n	naterials and quantities involv	ed, effects on plant a	nd distribution system

Comments:

1.

APPENDIX D

	(FO Letterhead)
	SUBJECT: Water -
	-
Dear	<u>_</u> :
On, I made a as a result of that survey.	a sanitary survey of your waterworks. Enclosed is a copy of the report developed
Additional items may be a Deficiencies listed on Page	which need attention or clarification are discussed on page 2 of the cover section. found in the body of the report. [Your attention is directed to the Significant Two. You are required to respond by indicating how efficiencies will be addressed. (Optional for $\geq 10,000$)].
If you have questions on theme.	he report or would like to discuss my findings, please do not hesitate to contact
	Sincerely,
	(name) (title)
enclosure: Report of Sanita	ary Survey
pc or pc/enc as appropriate	